

5G and the Corona pandemics: Are these six researchers conspiring against telecom?

An earlier version of this text was first published as a blogpost in Norwegian at <http://einarflydal.com> 27th April, 2020



Journal of Astrobiology & Outreach

Wickramasinghe et al., *Astrobiol Outreach* 2017, 5:2
DOI: 10.4172/2332-2519.1000159

Review Article

Open Access

Sunspot Cycle Minima and Pandemics: The Case for Vigilance?

Wickramasinghe NC^{1,2}, Edward J Steele^{2,3}, Wainwright M^{1,2,5}, Gensuke Tokoro^{2,6}, Manju Fernando² and Jiangwen Qu⁴

Who are the "conspiracy theorists"? The accusers, or the accused?

Here I give you the names of six scientists that the fact checkers hunting for “conspiracy theorists”, should promptly denounce as such. Why? In 2017 these researchers made some claims, the consequence of which being that 5G may have helped trigger (Yes, please read this twice:) *may have helped* trigger the pandemic starting in Wuhan a month after the city had experienced a dramatic increase in electromagnetic exposure from its new 5G network.

In their paper, the six researchers point to the fact that electromagnetic variations can create new viruses and bring old ones back to life (Wickramasinghe & al. 2017). They also explain how variations in the Earth's magnetic field furnish the areas East of the Himalayas, where China's most populous, most polluted, and most 5G-developed areas lie, with special "assets" when it comes to pandemic development – indeed due to the impact that electromagnetic fields have on viruses.

Of course, the scientists also emphasize that not one single factor, but many, are involved in triggering pandemics. However, the electromagnetism factor is strong enough to make pandemics emerge: Their research shows how Ebola, MERS, SARS, chickenpox, plague and cholera, all have had their eruptions in (delayed) synchronization with the variations in our globe's electromagnetic fields.

Denouncing them as "conspiracy theorists" for such claims would, of course, be downright silly. The word "conspiracy" usually means a secret, illegal and evil plot of some kind. A "conspiracy theorist" must then be someone who makes claims there is a secret evil plot around somewhere. Do these researchers make such claims? No, of course not. Calling them "conspiracy theorists" would be pure nonsense. If someone accuses these scientists to be part of a conspiracy, then the accuser is in fact the conspiracy theorist.

All around the world, scientists and medical practitioners have warned for many years that electromagnetic pollution weakens our immune system and affects our health – both on its own and in interplay with other kinds of pollution. Reviews of the literature confirms that many researchers (e.g. Kostoff 2020, Zaporozhan & Ponomarenko 2010) have found the electromagnetic fields from microwaves to have just this effect. It is simply a well-documented finding.

Do their findings mean that these scientists believe that 5G is introduced to weaken the immune system on purpose, or that 5G is purposely staged for evil intent? No.

All these scientists, not just the six referred to here, also know that in the soup of ever-changing biological material that exists around us and within us, electromagnetic fields make a difference and can cause lasting changes. Does this mean these researchers are part of a conspiracy? Of course not. Do these scientists make claims that electronic pollution is a conspiracy intending to harm people?

No, they do not.

Those denouncing such findings as "conspiratorial" are placing themselves in the same category of superstition as those claiming 5G is designed to be a weapon against the masses to eradicate the population, to exercise mind control, for crowd control, etc.: They drop due diligence, they don't check the research. Instead, they rely on their own prejudices, suspicions or undocumented claims: That a technology has a «biological potential», does not mean it was created with that in mind.

The article by the six researchers is short and worth reading. It is food for thoughts. They are even open to the possibility that microbes and virus-like fragments may travel through interplanetary or intergalactic space and slowly descend on the surface of the Earth - when conditions are right. And they are right, these scientists claim, when solar winds have been particularly weak for some time. However, regardless of such mechanisms, they believe evidence clearly shows electromagnetic changes to be a decisive factor in virus development - especially East of the Himalayas.

Their summary reads:

Direct records of sunspots and the solar cycle have been maintained in astronomical observatories for about 1610 AD, while indirect records derived from 14C analysis of ice cores go back to about 900 AD. Minima in the sunspot cycle present conditions conducive to the entry or activation of new pathogens and also for mutations of already circulating bacteria and viruses. Three grand minima of solar activity on record—the Spörer minimum (1450-1550 AD), Maunder minimum (1650-1700 AD) and the Dalton minimum (1800-1830) have all been marked by a preponderance of pandemics—Small Pox, English Sweats, Plague and Cholera. The sunspot numbers recorded for the present period 2002-2017 include the deepest sunspot minimum (Cycle 23-24) since records began, and a trend to declining numbers throughout the cycle. The same period has seen the resurgence of several pandemics—SARS, MERS, Zika, Ebola, Influenza A. We consider it prudent to take note of these facts whilst planning future strategies for pandemic surveillance and control

So, quoting the legendary, witty observer and writer of paradoxical aphorisms, Fredrik Stabell, there seems indeed to be «more interesting stuff between Heaven and Earth than most other places». Only a fool, or persons steered by the sensorship of conventional thinking, would be willing to discard or deny such thoughts just because they are unfamiliar or strange.

That argument should also apply to the idea that the 5G could cause the Corona pandemics. Hence, before insisting that 5G created the virus, as well as before denying it could be so, one should examine the evidence. So far, this has not been done. It was simply denied by the WHO and ICNIRP on the 8th of April (2020), with arguments *against* not meeting the arguments *in favour*, and by simply denying science, as the quote below shows.

«It has been claimed that exposure to the electromagnetic fields (EMFs) generated by 5G devices can both cause COVID-19 and increase its severity. These claims are not supported by any evidence (not even extremely weak evidence), and the large body of scientific knowledgeIRP regarding the EMFs relevant to 5G demonstrates that those claims are not feasible. EMF exposure from 5G devices does not cause COVID-19, nor does it have any effect on the disease process or health outcomes of those who are infected by the new corona virus (SARS-CoV-2) that causes COVID-19. As described by the WHO, you need to physically come into contact with the corona virus to become infected by it, and as the EMFs from 5G cannot carry viruses, they cannot bring you into contact with the virus.»

ICNIRP, 8. April, 2020,
<https://www.icnirp.org/en/activities/news/news-article/covid-19.html>

5G mobile networks DO NOT spread COVID-19

Viruses cannot travel on radio waves/mobile networks. COVID-19 is spreading in many countries that do not have 5G mobile networks.

COVID-19 is spread through respiratory droplets when an infected person coughs, sneezes or speaks. People can also be infected by touching a contaminated surface and then their eyes, mouth or nose.

Viruses cannot travel on radio waves/mobile networks.
COVID-19 is spreading in many countries that do not have 5G mobile networks.
 COVID-19 is spread through respiratory droplets when an infected person coughs, sneezes or speaks. People can also be infected by touching a contaminated surface and then their eyes, mouth or nose.

FACT: 5G mobile networks DO NOT spread COVID-19

World Health Organization #Coronavirus #COVID19

8 April 2020

(WHO, 8. April 2020, <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public/myth-busters>)

Einar Flydal, 12th May 2020 (revised English version of blogpost from 27th April, 2020)

References

Kostoff RN. The largest unethical medical experiment in human history. 2020. PDF:
<http://hdl.handle.net/1853/62452>

Zaporozhan, V., & Ponomarenko, A. (2010). Mechanisms of geomagnetic field influence on gene expression using influenza as a model system: basics of physical epidemiology. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 7(3), 938–965.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph7030938>, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2872305/>

Wickramasinghe et al., Sunspot Cycle Minima and Pandemics: The Case for Vigilance?, *Astrobiol Outreach* 2017, 5: 2, DOI: 10.4172 / 2332-2519.1000159